

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/813,351	03/19/2001	Sidney T. Smith	CRTS-5679 (1417A P 450) 3473			
7	7590 05/05/2004			EXAMINER		
Baxter Healthcare Corporation			PASCUA, JES F			
Corporate Research & Technical Services One Baxter Parkway DF3-3E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Deerfield, IL 60015			3727			

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		09/813,35	51	SMITH ET AL.				
Οπις	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jes F. Pas		3727				
Th MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communical	tion appears on the	cov r sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	·SS			
A SHORTENEI THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA may be available under the provisions of 3 fHS from the mailing date of this communically specified above is less than thirty (30) dig is specified above, the maximum statuto in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo- cation. ays, a reply within the stati ry period will apply and wi by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t lication to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this comm 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi	ive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>19 March 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action	a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.							
•								
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☑ Claim(s)	1-9,12-26,28-34 and 36-51 is/e above claim(s) is/are versions is/are versions and selections is and selections is and selections is a selection is a selection and selections is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the selection in the selection in the selection is a selection in the sel	withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
Application Paper	'S							
9)□ The speci	fication is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
•	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
·	ent drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to by							
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a)	dgment is made of a claim for Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority doubles of the priority doubles of the certified copies of the priority doubles of the certified copies of the plication from the International tached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicatio ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	age			
2) Notice of Draftspe	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo Date		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	i2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/813,351 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/19/04 has been entered.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1, 16, 24, 25, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,132 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,988,422 to Vallot. U.S. Patent No. 6,659,132 discloses the claimed device except for

Application/Control Number: 09/813,351

Art Unit: 3727

the port closure being in communication with a container having a port. Vallot discloses that it is known in the art to provide a container with a port in communication with a port closure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the port closure of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,132 with the container and port of Vallot, in order to maintain the contents within the container in a sterile condition.

The microporous materials of claims 5 and 6 in U.S. Patent No. 6,659,132 inherently meet the structure of the present application's "barrier".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-9, 12-15, 17-22, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,988,422 to Vallot (previously cited). See Figs. 1 and 2.

It is brought to applicant's attention that the angle defined between the longitudinal edges 19, 19' and the tapered edges 17, 17', 18, 18' in Fig. 2 of Vallot is shown as being in the range from about 135.01° to about 138°. Having met applicant's claimed range of angles in claim 17, the end panels of Vallot are inherently capable of

Application/Control Number: 09/813,351

Art Unit: 3727

extending outwardly from the sleeve beyond an imaginary plane when in the unfolded position shown in Fig. 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 25, 26, 36, 37, 38, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallot '422.

Vallot '422 discloses the claimed invention, especially all of the materials used to construct the Vallot '422 container and its accessories being "capable of withstanding exposure to radiation and other known sterilization techniques." See column 3, lines 46-50. However, Vallot does not disclose the port closure (i.e. "stopper") in sterile communication with the port (i.e. "chimneys 8"). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the stopper of Vallot '422 in sterile communication with the port of the container since it was known in the art to maintain the contents of bio-pharmaceutical containers in a sterile condition.

Regarding claims 43 and 44, the large diameter tube connector 10, small diameter tube connector 11 or 90° elbow connector 13 meet the structure of applicant's "vent closure" to the same degree as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,351 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

Regarding claim 37, Vallot '422 discloses the claimed invention except for the communication member (i.e. a tube connecting to large diameter tube connector 10, small diameter tube connector 11 or 90° elbow connector 13) being about 6 ft. to about 30 ft. long. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use a 6 ft. to 30 ft. tube for the communication member of Vallot '422, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

8. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallot '422.

Vallot '422 discloses the claimed invention except for the angle between the longitudinal edge 19, 19' and the tapered edge 17,17', 18, 18' being 136°. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the angle between the longitudinal edge 19, 19' and the tapered edge 17,17', 18, 18' of Vallot '422 136°, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

9. Claims 29, 32 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vallot '422 and Sasaki et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,351

Art Unit: 3727

Vallot '422 discloses the claimed device except for the top side of the container having a plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations. Sasaki et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide a plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations 14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the top side of the Vallot '422 container with the plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations of Sasaki et al., in order to permit the container to be suspended. Furthermore, the plurality of spaced-apart hanger connection locations 14 of Sasaki et al are shown as being positioned inward from an outer edge of the top side as claimed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 45-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 12-26, 28-34 and 36-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 703-308-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

**JFP**